

March 1978

West look East

Understanding the Soviet Military Threat: How CIA Estimates Went Astray By W. T. Lee (National Strategy Information Center Inc, New York, 1977), \$2.00, 74 pages

In 1976, a heated debate arose amongst senior members of the US intelligence community as to the accuracy of official CIA estimates of Soviet defence spending. As a result President Ford's national security advisers set up a second team of specialists to make an independent assessment of Soviet defence spending, using the same sources as the official CIA team.

The independent team assessed Soviet defence effort at approximately double the official estimate. The official estimate has since been revised, in fact doubled, to bring them in to line with the independent team's high figure, but many US commentators still consider this to be a significant underestimation of the actual Soviet military budget.

In his paper the main portion of which was originally written as a chapter of a larger study (*Arms, Men, and Military Budgets—Issues for Fiscal Year 1978*, Crane Russak, NY, 1977) the author seeks to throw light on the preparation of the two estimates, and explain why the first official estimate was so very low. He has succeeded in producing an admirable summary of the main points of the estimates debate, and in giving a clear account of how the two estimates were arrived at. This alone makes the work worthy of attention.

The author, however, is far less enlightening as to why the estimates differed so widely, and why the CIA were so ready to adjust the official estimate to agree with the independent team. In this latter regard, the work poses more questions than it answers, and casts a shadow on the integrity of the official CIA team. In view of the fact that the whole estimates controversy has become a burning political issue, this result may not be accidental.

C. N. DONNELLY

A + B Team
file
Meekie

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/10/12 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002000090003-8

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

media items

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 31 March 1978

Page 2

25X1

4. [REDACTED] LIAISON As a follow up to Noel Holmes, in the office of Representative Charles Wilson (D., Tex.), first request asking for a legal sized map of the world which would serve as an aide in keeping track of the Congressman's travel, sent by courier eight more maps of the individual continents, per our conversation of yesterday, these maps also to serve as an aide in keeping track of the Congressman's travel.

25X1

5. [REDACTED] BRIEFING Accompanied Thomas K. Latimer, Staff Director, House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and Mike O'Neil, Chief Counsel, of the Committee, to an overview briefing of NPIC by Mr. John Hicks, Director, NPIC. The briefing took place in Mr. Hicks' office and lasted from 1000 until 1130 hours and was at the codeword level. There were no outstanding questions and I believe that both Mr. Latimer and Mr. O'Neil benefited considerably from the very able briefing provided by Mr. Hicks.

25X1

6. [REDACTED] LIAISON I asked Mr. Thomas K. Latimer, Staff Director, House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, if he had any objection to an extension of the due date (of 1 April) on our response to Chairman Edward P. Boland's (D., Mass.) letter on compartmentation. I explained that the letter has been written but is going through various approval processes. Mr. Latimer said that he had no objection whatsoever and I told him that I expected that we would have the letter to him by 5 April 1978.

25X1

7. [REDACTED] LIAISON Tim Ingram, staff of the House Government Operations Subcommittee on Government Information and Individual Rights, called late after hours to alert us to a call he had received from newsman George Lardner inquiring about an exchange of letters between former Director George Bush and former Representative Bella Abzug in June/August 1976 [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

25X1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~